1	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
2 3	Michael S. Stamer (pro hac vice) Ira S. Dizengoff (pro hac vice)	Ashley Vinson Crawford (SBN 257246) 580 California Street
	David H. Botter (pro hac vice) Abid Qureshi (pro hac vice)	Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94104
4	One Bryant Park New York, New York 10036	Telephone: (415) 765-9500
5	Telephone: (212) 872-1000	Facsimile: (415) 765-9501 Email: avcrawford@akingump.com
6	Facsimile: (212) 872-1002 Email: mstamer@akingump.com	
7	idizengoff@akingump.com dbotter@akingump.com	
8	aqureshi@akingump.com	
9		
10	Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsec Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company	
11		
12		NKRUPTCY COURT
13		CT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
14	In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
15	PG&E CORPORATION,	
16	-and-	Chapter 11
17	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Lead Case)
18	COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)
19	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company	NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT
20		
21	☐ Affects both Debtors	
22	*All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No.	
23	19-30088 (DM).	
24		
25		
26		
27		
21		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5154 Filed: 12/17/19 Entered: 12/17/19 16:17:38 Page 1 of 16

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that attached hereto as Exhibit A is a copy of the transcript of the December 17, 2019 status conference in the estimation proceeding before the Honorable James Donato, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, No. 19-5257 (JD). Dated: December 17, 2019 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP By: /s/ Ashley Vinson Crawford Ashley Vinson Crawford (SBN 257246) David H. Botter (pro hac vice) Michael S. Stamer (pro hac vice) Ira S. Dizengoff (pro hac vice) Abid Qureshi (pro hac vice) Counsel to the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Noteholders of Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5154 Filed: 12/17/19 Entered: 12/17/19 16:17:38 Page 2 of

Exhibit A

Transcript

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 5154 Filed: 12/17/19 Entered: 12/17/19 16:17:38 Page 3 of 16

Pages 1 - 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES DONATO

IN RE: PG&E CORPORATION and)
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC) No. 19-5257 JD
COMPANY,)

) San Francisco, California

Debtors.) Tuesday

) December 17, 2019

) 1:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Creditor Committee, Official Committee of Tort Claimants:

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Levi's Plaza

1160 Battery Street East

Suite 100

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ROBERT A. JULIAN, ESQ. KIMBERLY S. MORRIS, ESQ.

For Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders:

WILLKIE, FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

878 Seventh Avenue

New York, New York 10019

BY: BENJAMIN P. McCALLEN, ESQ.

For Creditor California State Agencies:

FELDERSTEIN, FITZGERALD, WILLOUGHBY

PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP

500 Capitol Mall

Suite 2250

Sacramento, California 95814

BY: PAUL J. PASCUZZI, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse

Debra L. Pas, CSR, RPR, RMR, CRR Official Reporter - U.S. District Court - San Francisco

1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
2	For Creditor Committee, Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:
3	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP 1850 K Street, N.W.
4	Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006
5	BY: ANDREW LEBLANC, ESQ.
6	
7	For Ad Hoc Bondholder Committee:
8	AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD LLP
9 10	580 California Street San Francisco, California 94104 BY: ASHLEY VINSON CRAWFORD, ESQ.
11	
12	AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD LLP
13	One Bryant Park New York, New York 10036
14	BY: ABID QURESHI, ESQ.
15	
16	For Debtor-in-Possession PG&E Corporation: CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
17	825 Eighth Avenue
18	New York, New York 10019 BY: KEVIN ORSINI, ESQ.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Т	Tuesday - December 17, 2019	
2	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>	
3	THE CLERK: Calling Civil 19-5257, In Re PG&E	
4	Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric.	
5	Counsel.	
6	MR. JULIAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Robert	
7	Julian and Kim Morris for Baker Hostetler appearing on behalf	
8	of the Tort Committee.	
9	MR. McCALLEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Benjamin	
10	McCallen, Willkie, Farr and Gallagher, on behalf of the Ad Hoc	
11	Subrogation Group.	
12	MR. ORSINI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kevin	
13	Orsini, Cravath, Swaine and Moore, for PG&E.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to come up for the	
15	claimants?	
16	Mr. Julian? All right. What's the latest?	
17	MR. ORSINI: So I can begin, Your Honor. Kevin	
18	Orsini for the debtors.	
19	We have made tremendous progress in these bankruptcy	
20	cases. At this point we now have proposed settlement	
21	agreements with all three categories of wildfire claimants.	
22	We had previously had agreements with the public entity	
23	plaintiffs and the subrogation insurers.	
24	As Your Honor is aware, we have now entered into a	
25	restructuring support agreement with the Official Committee for	

Tort Claimants, who Mr. Julian represents, as well as attorneys who represent at least 70 percent of the actual wildfire claimants.

That settlement that is before Judge Montali -- and we're actually in a pause in his proceedings today to come up here and talk to Your Honor. The settlement before Judge Montali, if approved, would provide for the creation of a trust in the amount of 13-and-a-half billion dollars to provide for the resolution of all of the wildfire claims of the individuals and various other entities that had not previously been settled in these bankruptcies.

If that settlement is approved and we move forward towards confirmation, we will no longer need to have the estimation proceedings before Your Honor that have been scheduled. We will have effectively stipulated to an estimated amount that will go into the trust to satisfy these claims.

And accordingly, as Your Honor knows, we have requested a stay of those estimation proceedings while we worked through the approval of the settlement that's before Judge Montali.

We have also in the meantime gotten a two-week continuance of the state court jury trial on the Tubbs fire litigation, again, to allow us to resolve all of these issues.

In the event that Judge Montali does approve the proposed settlement agreement with the TCC and the wildfire lawyers, we will also enter into settlement agreements with the preference

plaintiffs that are in the Tubbs case before the state court, and so that will resolve that trial as well.

So where we are right now, Your Honor, is there were a couple of issues on calendar before Judge Montali this morning. We have not yet gotten through all of the argument with respect to the proposed settlement.

Judge Montali has indicated that he expects to issue oral rulings today on both the proposed settlement agreement with the Tort Claimants Committee and the wildfire victims attorneys, as well as the previously submitted settlement with the subrogation plaintiffs.

So at this point, Your Honor, particularly given that if those settlements are approved, both are approved, we will not need to proceed with the estimation proceedings. We would ask that the Court extend the stay that's currently in place, that I think technically expires today, extend that stay pending Judge Montali's orders on the two settlements.

If Judge Montali approves both of those, as we hope and expect he will, then we can permanently take the estimation proceedings off calendar. If he doesn't, we can come back and figure out the path forward.

THE COURT: Mr. Julian?

MR. JULIAN: He is correct, Your Honor, with one qualification; that the \$13.5 billion pot actually has an additional assignment of several causes of action to the trust

to litigate on behalf of the fire victims. But that's the pot and that's the settlement.

THE COURT: Well, what about the Government claimants, FEMA and state?

MR. ORSINI: So the FEMA and state claims will be part of the trust that's being created as part of the settlement. They were not signatories to that settlement agreement. They have presented certain objections to the settlement, very specific provisions of the settlement that will be addressed by Judge Montali, and I expect will be argued later today.

But to the extent that the RSA is approved, what it will do is create this trust to which all claims that are not subrogation claims or the settled public entity claims will be funneled, and whatever their ultimate recovery will be will be determined by the trustee post confirmation of a plan of reorganization.

THE COURT: Well, I'm just wondering. I don't think there's anything left here either way. Planning gets approved, you're done -- I mean, the settlement gets approved, you're done. Settlement is not approved, you have effectively estimated the loss at 13.5 billion. So what else is there to do?

MR. JULIAN: That's the way we would view it.

MR. ORSINI: I think that's sounds about where we are

going to come out, Your Honor, and I expect --1 In essence, you've stipulated -- the tort 2 THE COURT: claimants have stipulated that the value of their claims is 3 13.5 billion. 4 5 MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, the tort claimants have settled the estimation proceeding for 13.5 billion, plus an 6 assignment of the causes of action. 7 They have not agreed that that is a perfect valuation, but 8 for purposes of AB-1054 we believe --9 THE COURT: But as an estimate. 10 MR. JULIAN: -- that's a fair settlement. 11 THE COURT: An estimate you can live with. 12 13 MR. JULIAN: It's an estimate we can certainly live with and is important to live with, Your Honor. 14 15 And one qualification. If the plan were not to be 16 confirmed, the outside chance that the plan would not be 17 confirmed in several months, we would be back here. However, I anticipate that this plan would be confirmed. 18 19 THE COURT: Why would you be back here? MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, if the plan is not 20 21 confirmed, I think the debtor is going to argue we don't have our 13.5. 22 I would argue we do have our 13.5. 23 "depends." It depends on what the subro claimants argue. Essentially for our purposes here, Your Honor, I think 24 this estimation proceeding should be taken off calendar, and 25

Mr. Orsini and I would submit a stip and order once we have the approval from Judge Montali, if, indeed, that happens today.

MR. ORSINI: Just from the debtor's perspective, Your Honor.

I could foresee some hypothetical situations in which we would have to be back here to figure out whether the number is 13.5 or something lower than that, if things play out in a variety of ways that we can't even begin to foresee right now.

I think where we are right now, it makes all the sense in the world for us to take the proceeding --

THE COURT: But PG&E has already agreed that the value of the outstanding claims is roughly 13.5 billion.

MR. ORSINI: PG&E has agreed that --

THE COURT: Why would you be coming back to tell me it's a different number?

MR. ORSINI: Well, Your Honor, we have agreed as part of the settlement that 13.5 is a reasonable resolution of these claims, as have other constituents in the bankruptcy.

In the event that this settlement did not get approved or in a hypothetical scenario where potentially the subrogation settlement didn't get approved or there were other confirmation issues that arose, there could be circumstances in which the parties would have to come back and argue to Your Honor what we thought the claims were actually worth in the litigated outcome as opposed to a settled outcome.

Again, these are hypotheticals --1 THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, we started this estimation 2 process by looking at other settlements and inferring from 3 those other settlements what the value would be here. 4 5 Now we have the gold standard. You have actually settled. So I don't have to even infer. You've put -- you've put the 6 7 ink on the paper and you have said it's 13.5 billion. I just can't imagine that you would ever be allowed to 8 revisit that. Maybe we don't have to get into it, but, you 9 know, whether -- settlement or not, you have both estimated the 10 11 value to be 13.5 billion. So that figure is here. 12 I mean --

MR. JULIAN: That's why we think we're done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, is there any reason just not to close up shop and send you on our way to -- what floor is the Bankruptcy Court on?

MR. JULIAN: 16.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ORSINI: 16, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 16, yes. I should know that.

MR. ORSINI: I don't believe there is any reason not to do that.

Again, I don't think we need to get into this today. And I hope that we'll never have to need to get into this, but we have agreed to the 13-1/2 as part of the comprehensive

settlement. If that settlement weren't approved, that could lead to circumstances where we would have to discuss what the remedy is. Because it's not just the number, there are other provisions related to it as well.

Again, these are hypotheticals that I think everyone in this courtroom hopefully never has to talk about again.

THE COURT: So if we close now, February is off the books. I'm going to restore my MDL trial, and I will not have you in by June 30th. You understand that. That's -- I mean, if you want me -- if you want to turn off the lights, they are going to go all the way off. Okay? Is that what you want to do?

MR. ORSINI: What I would propose, Your Honor, is we keep the stay in place through at least the end of this week. I expect we will get -- as Judge Montali has indicated, he expects to give an oral ruling today on both RSAs. That should then permit Mr. Julian and I to prepare a stipulation that we can present to you tomorrow that would allow you to take -- if Judge Montali approves the settlement, to take everything off calendar.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JULIAN: I that that's the safest way to go.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JULIAN: But I agree with all your other

comments. They've agreed, so...

THE COURT: You probably would have an easy argument 1 if that ever came, but we'll see. 2 All right. So I'll continue the stay until Friday the 3 4 Just let me know as soon as you can. All right? 5 And everything else is done. No FEMA. No Butte County. 6 No subrogation. MR. ORSINI: That's right, Your Honor. This resolves 7 everything. 8 **THE COURT:** Anybody here want to disagree with that? 9 MR. McCALLEN: Benjamin McCallen, Willkie Farr and 10 11 Gallagher, on behalf of the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group. Just for the record, Your Honor, we're in agreement with 12 everything that Mr. Orsini and Mr. Julian said about the status 13 of the case and what makes sense going forward. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. McCALLEN: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, because I had this other case, if the Governor has not approved the deal, what 18 19 happens to AB-1054 participation for PG&E? 20 MR. ORSINI: So the Governor's counsel spoke this 21 morning in Judge Montali's court, and I don't want to try to summarize what she said, but I think the take-away at a high 22 23 level from Judge Montali's perspective was the approval of this

24

25

settlement will not ultimately determine whether the plan of

reorganization that's presented for confirmation does or does

not comply with AB-1054. That's going to be something that 1 2 will have to be addressed by Judge Montali and by the CPUC as part of the continued reorganization proceedings. 3 It's our full expectation that the plan of reorganization 4 5 will satisfy AB-1054. In fact, we think our current plan does. The Governor has a different view of that. And we are going to 6 7 work together to make sure that we have a plan that satisfies AB-1054, because that's a critical part of this company getting 8 9 out of bankruptcy. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll hang fire until the 20th and you'll let me know what happens. 11 12 Okay. Thank you. (Proceedings adjourned.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelua X. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Tuesday, December 17, 2019